

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.enplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/586,204	09/29/2006	Wei-Ping Chen	2009_1195	4772		
513 WENDEROTT	7590 11/26/201 H, LIND & PONACK,	EXAM	EXAMINER			
1030 15th Street, N.W.,			KOSACK,	KOSACK, JOSEPH R		
Suite 400 East Washington, I	OC 20005-1503	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		1626				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			11/26/2010	EL ECTRONIC		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/586,204	CHEN ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Joseph R. Kosack	1626					

	Joseph R. Kosack	1626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 or CR13, or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this As no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1986a. The date where the properties of the properties of the date of the properties of elsewhering the practical of each under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above; if checked, Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	iance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	11 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant / tinonamont (i	102 024).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) [I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Joseph R Kosack/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1626					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: While step b is not taught explicitly, it has been shown since Nettekoven et al. teach a catalyst in which a phosphine has different R groups is known and that the process of Hayashi et al. can be modified by the process of Berlin et al. in order to arrive at that catalyst of Nettekoven et al. In the modified process, step b is naturally present because an intermediate would be present in order to use the process of Berlin et al. Finally, as stated in the final office action, if chiral is to be interpreted as not being in racemic form, the person of ordinary skill would be motivated resolve after step c since Nettekoven et al. teaches a chiral ligand for its catalyst. As the process is written in open claim language, additional claim steps are currently allowed.